Name of Reviewer	Date of Review	
Applicant Name		
THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS	S USED TO SCORE THIS PROPOSAL IS 100.	
Proposals are scored using the que	estions set out in each section.	
SECTION 1: UNDERSTANDING	OF THE PROJECT – 25 points	
1. How well has the applicant dem	nonstrated a thorough understanding of the purpose and scope of	the project?
2. To what degree has, the application	ant demonstrated an understanding of the deliverables the state ea	xpects it to provide.
	an understanding of the CMV Direct Observation Study of Safety	Belts and Hand-held
		-
4. How well has the applicant demo	onstrated an understanding of the state's time schedule and ability	to meet it?
EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FO	R THIS SECTION	-

Applicant Name	
SECTION 2: METHODOLOGY USED FOR THE PROJECT – 30 Points	
How comprehensive is the methodology and does it depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP?	
EVALUATOR'S NOTES	
2. How well does the proposed methodology address each of the tasks and deliverables identified in	the Scope of Work?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES	
How well does the proposed methodology address organizing, training, and conducting key meetings?	-
EVALUATOR'S NOTES	
4. How well does the proposed methodology address meeting the document standards?	
EVALUATOR'S NOTES	
5. How well does the proposed methodology address the ability to conduct and analyze the data conduct best practices?	ollected according to
EVALUATOR'S NOTES	
EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR SECTION #2	

Applicant Name	
SECTION 3: PROJECT MANAGEMENT – 20 Points	
1. Has the applicant clearly identified the project team members and their role in this project?	
EVALUATOR'S NOTES	
2. To what extent does the applicant have the recovered paragraph to expect the contract is a software.	
2. To what extent does the applicant have the resources necessary to successfully complete the contract, i.e., software, hardware, etc?	
EVALUATOR'S NOTES	
3. How well does the management plan support all of the project requirements and logically lead to the deliverable required in the RFP?	es
EVALUATOR'S NOTES	
4. Is the organization of the project team clear?	
EVALUATOR'S NOTES	
5. Has the applicant provided a list of staff who will be working on this project along with their qualifications?	
EVALUATOR'S NOTES	
EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR SECTION #3	

Applicant Name
SECTION 4: EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS – 15 points
1. Does the applicant have experience working with government agencies in developing surveys?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
Have the two examples provided demonstrated that the applicant has had experience on similar projects
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
3. Are the resumes complete and do they demonstrate backgrounds that would be desirable for individuals engaged in the work the RFP requires?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR SECTION #4
SECTION #5: CONTRACT COST – 10 Points
1. Is the budget complete and detailed?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
2. Are the costs included in the budget directly related to completion of this project?
EVALUATOR'S NOTES
EVALUATOR'S POINT TOTAL FOR SECTION #5
TOTAL EVALUATOR'S POINTS